The financial pressures for NDIS providers



added cost

Payroll pressure

The Fair Work Commission's 2025 SCHADS Award decision raised minimum wages by 3.5%. Alongside the increase to 12% superannuation, and new state-based Portable Long Service Leave levies (1.35-1.85%), total payroll costs are compounding faster than NDIA price indexation.

Example: A \$30/hour support worker now costs \$44/hour once wage rises, 12% super, levies, and 25% casual loading are applied - while NDIA caps often cover only ~\$37 per participant hour.

What this means for a \$10m provider

\$436k - \$477k added annually



- SCHADS Wage rise (3.5%) = \$285,250
- Super rise (0.5% to 12%) = \$40,750
- Levy (1.35-1.85%) = \$110,025 \$150,775

Total = \$436k - \$477k extra per year



• Margin impact: For a \$10m provider with -3.5% sector average margin, this can wipe out their operating margin.





\$1.3m - \$1.5m added annually

- Base payroll = \$24,450,000
- SCHADS Wage rise (3.5%) = \$855,750
- Super rise (0.5% to 12%) = \$122,250
- Levy (1.35-1.85%) = \$330,075 \$452,325

Total = \$1.3m - \$1.5m extra per year



Margin impact: This equates to a 4-5 percentage point swing in operating margin that can flip a breakeven provider into deficit.



Claims rework risk

The NDIA rejects roughly 2-3% of claims for pricing or data entry errors. Each must be corrected and resubmitted, while integrity reviews can delay payments for 60+ days - tying up cash flow, consuming finance capacity, and tightening working capital.

Example: A modest 2-3% claim rejection rate can delay \$15k-\$75k of revenue each month and require 30-75 hours of finance rework - often without reimbursement or additional funding.

What this means for a \$10m provider



\$200k+ delayed annually



- 2%-3% rejection = 65-100 claims per month
- $65-100 \times $250 = $15k-$25k$ delayed per month
- Annual impact = \$200k-\$300k delayed revenue
- Integrity reviews (median 60 days) = can freeze a full payroll cycle of cash.

What this means for a \$30m provider



\$600k - \$900k delayed annually

- 2%-3% rejection = 200-300 claims per month
- $200-300 \times $250 = $50k-$75k$ delayed per month
- Annual impact = \$600k-\$900k delayed revenue
- Integrity reviews (60+ days) = multiple payroll cycles unfunded
- Cash flow impact: Even short-term claim holds can create one month's payroll exposure and force greater reliance on overdrafts or reserves - without any change in revenue.
- Cash flow impact: Persistent claim delays can tie up to two payroll cycles of cash, reduce liquidity, and stretch the finance team with constant rework and reconciliations.

Audit & Compliance pressure

more audits

In Q1 2025, the NDIS Commission completed 6,841 audits and enforcement activities - more than triple the previous year. Mid-term, certification, and spot audits now occur more frequently, consuming finance and quality team capacity and increasing compliance risk.

Example: A typical mid-term or certification audit costs \$10k-\$25k once external audit fees and internal staff time are included - not counting potential clawbacks or sanctions if evidence of gaps are found.

What this means for a \$10m provider

What this means for a \$30m provider



\$25k+ per audit event



\$150k+ annual compliance cost

- External auditor fees = \$5k-\$15k per audit
- Internal labour = 100-200 hrs x \$50/hr = \$5k-\$10k
- Total cost per audit = \$10k-\$25k
- Two audits per year = \$20k-\$50k annual cost
- 1% clawback exposure = \$100k exposure

- Larger scope = 3-4 audits per year
- External auditor fees = \$15k-\$25k per audit
- Internal prep time = 200-300 hrs x \$50/hr = \$10k-\$15k
- Total cost per audit = $$25k-$40k \rightarrow $75k-$160k$ per vear
- 1% clawback exposure = \$300k



• Operational impact: Audits can absorb several weeks of staff time each year and trigger unplanned cash outflows or clawbacks if evidence is incomplete.



• Operational impact: Audits can absorb over a month of cumulative finance effort annually and temporarily disrupt month-end and compliance workflows.

5-10%

added to payroll

Workforce pressure

Workforce shortages are pushing providers to rely more on casual and overtime staff. Casual turnover now averages ~26% (vs 16% for permanent roles), and 25% casual loadings plus SCHADS penalties for broken shifts and sleepovers, quickly drive payroll drift. Even small mix changes can add 5-10% to payroll.

Example: Increasing the casual workforce from 15% to 25% on a \$20m payroll lifts total payroll costs by ~\$1.4m-\$1.6m annually, before factoring in recruitment or overtime costs.

What this means for a \$10m provider

What this means for a \$30m provider



~\$600k+ increase in annual payroll costs



~\$1.7m-\$1.9m+ increase in annual payroll costs

- Base payroll: \$8.15m (81.5% staff cost ratio)
- 20% of workforce casual: \$1.63m x 25% loading = \$408k extra cost
- SCHADS penalty drift (2-3%) = \$160k-\$245k

Total = \sim \$570k-\$650k annual increase in payroll costs

- Base payroll: \$24.45m (81.5% staff cost ratio)
- 20% of workforce casual: \$4.89m x 25% loading = \$1.22m extra cost
- SCHADS penalty drift (2-3%) = \$490k-\$735k

Total = \sim \$1.7m-\$1.9m annual increase in payroll costs

• Workforce impact: Greater reliance on casuals or overtime can add 5-7% to total payroll, often absorbing the entire annual NDIA price increase.

• Workforce impact: Shifts in workforce mix or turnover can raise payroll by 5-10%, leading to sustained budget overruns unless managed through integrated rostering, payroll, and cost tracking.

See how Wiise can help